



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,279	03/24/2000	Gang Lu	2762.2001-002	2501
21005	7590	11/22/2005	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/535,279	LU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Harrell	2142	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mark B. Solomon (Registration Number: 44,348). (3) _____.
- (2) Robert B. Harrell (Primary Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: NONE.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reed (Patent Number: 6,061,739).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy


If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner clarified paragraph 13 of the Final Office Action in which Reed shown not only an IP addresses based network but also a MAC addressed based network not fully shown in figure 1 right of element 16 and thus stipulated that Reed still read on the claimed invention. The applicant's representative would consider a supplemental preliminary amendment in light of this showing within a months time to distinguish the claim there over including claim 26 which examiner stated reads on a personal computer dialing to an Internet service provider for address assignment over the internally set IP address given at boot time of the personal computer including over the teachings of Reed.

A proposed substitute specification was considered to move all United States Applications mentioned in the specification to the first page of the specification including current status such as Patent Numbers and other status. Also, the proposed substitute specification would change IP addresses to a variable format (i.e., use "W.X.Y.Z where letters range from 0 to 255") through the specification.

Facimile of Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form is confirmed receipt there to and enclosed copy there of,



Robert B. Harrell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2142

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.
530 Virginia Road, P.O. Box 9133
Concord, MA 01742-9133

Telephone: (978) 341-0036

Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

UNOFFICIAL DOCUMENT FOR EXAMINER'S REVIEW**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET****Examiner:** Robert B. Harrell **Group:** 2142**Date:** November 14, 2005**Client Code:** 2762**Facsimile No.:** (571) 273-3895**From:** Mark B. Solomon**Subject:** Paper: Applicant Initiated Interview Request

Docket No.: 2762,2001-002

Applicants: Gang Lu, James O'Toole and M. Frans Kaashock

Serial No.: 09/535,279

Filing Date: March 24, 2000

Number of pages including this cover sheet: 2Please confirm receipt of facsimile: Yes X No **Comments:**

Privileged and Confidential - All information transmitted hereby is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), please note that any distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who received this communication in error is asked to notify us immediately by telephone and to destroy the original message or return it to us at the above address via first class mail.

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 09/535,279 First Named Applicant: Gang LuExaminer: Harrell, Robert B. Art Unit: 2142 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Mark B. Solomon (2) Thomas J. Arria
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: November 17, 2005 Proposed Time: 11:00 a.m. (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NOIf yes, provide brief description: Amendment filed October 20, 2005**Issues To Be Discussed**

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc.)	Claims / Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection	Claims 1-4 and 7-40	Reed et al. U.S. Patent 6,061,739	[]	[]	[]
(2) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]

 Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Independent Claim 1 is patently distinct from the cited reference Reed. Specifically, Reed does disclose "posing as a node having an IP address external from the subnet" as recited in Claim 1. Independent Claim 26 is also patently distinct from Reed. Specifically, Reed does not disclose a network node in a local subnet that determines an available subnet configuration then accesses a network node outside the local subnet for an available permanent configuration.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Mark B. Solomon

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Robert Harrell

Examiner/SPE Signature

MARK B. SOLONON

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

44,348

Patent Application Number if available